

## Complete situation analysis (referring to page 35ff of START DIFFERENTLY)

#### 1. External factors

#### **External Factor: Economic/business environment**

- Which economic indicators are relevant for the development of the car market? [Purchasing power, Population growth]. How do you expect them to develop within the timeframe defined in the scope?
- Are there any population/workforce developments, which have an influence on the car industry? [Engineer graduates, labor cost]. If yes, how do you expect them to develop within the timeframe defined in the scope?
- Do you expect any other economic/business developments, which could have an influence on the overall profitability of the automotive industry in China? [emission standards, infrastructure measures].
- Which of those are tailwind? Which are headwind?

Facts	Conclusions
<ul> <li>Workforce:</li> <li>Large pool of engineers (800.000 engineers graduate per year) and business graduates</li> <li>During the last 5 years our labor cost has increased by 8,5% p.a.</li> <li>The current economic outlook points to a similar or even higher trend in the coming years.</li> </ul>	Labor cost is expected to get more expensive by 10% p.a.  → Key take away, Headwind
<ul><li>Emission standards:</li><li>China has pursued aggressive climate</li></ul>	Opportunity to prove to be a fast-reacting and innovative partner for our customers when it comes to implementing the new



and energy policies during the last years. Emission standards are expected to tighten further during the years to come.

 China is currently in the process of implementing its fourth-stage emissions standards, which would cap the allowed sulfur content at 50 parts per million next year, down from current levels of 350 parts per million. emission standards policy in China.

→ Comment: not relevant, not answering the problem statement (scope is only 3 years)

Economic indicators/purchasing power:

• Annual GDP growth rate: ~10%

Annual inflation rate: ~ 3%

Purchasing power: \$13.39 trillion

Population:

Population: 1,4 bn

Currently 300 million car drivers in China

- Share of urban population expected to rise from currently 50% to 60% by 2020.
- Number of high income urban households expected to rise from currently 20% to 60% by 2020.

#### Infrastructure:

 High investments in the quality of roads during the last years. National highway initiative: 33.000 km of new highways to be built started in 2011. Those facts support our market environment key take-away.

#### Key take-aways:

Labor cost is expected to get more expensive by 10% p.a.



#### **External Factor: Market environment**

- What information do we have about market size and expected growth?
- Are any segments growing faster than others?
- Are any segments more profitable than others? Why?
- Who are our competitors in China? Are they more or less profitable than us? Why? Any relevant trends?
- Who are the customers? What are their market shares and trends? Are they profitable?
   Why? Why do they buy/not buy from us?
- Who are our consumers in China? Is there any consumer trend that has an influence on our profitability?
- Who are the suppliers? Are there any relevant facts, which have an influence on our profitability?

Facts	Conclusions
40 million cars produced p.a. in China	The market offers double digit growth rates in SUV segment.  → Key take-away, Tailwind



<ul> <li>Small cars rate of total market expected to shrink by 2% p.a. until 2020</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Competition:</li> <li>There are &gt; 5,000 manufacturers.</li> <li>Majority of manufacturers are small to medium sized.</li> <li>40% are private enterprises and 20% are JVs.</li> <li>Profitability EBIT margin (estimates): <ul> <li>Private Chinese: 10%</li> <li>JVs: 2%</li> <li>Foreign owned: 5% (our profitability China: 4%)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Foreign companies dominate many core technologies.</li> </ul>	EBIT margin of our privately Chinese owned competitors is twice as high as ours.  → Comment: not relevant. Doesn't help us to solve the problem statement.
Customers: see internal factors sales	
<ul> <li>Consumers:</li> <li>China is number one car buying nation in the world, growing tendency.</li> <li>Current trend: Chinese people with good income buy bigger cars, e.g. SUVs (lifestyle).</li> <li>Consumer behavior between regions and cities/countryside varies widely.</li> </ul>	Challenge to meet consumer needs in a very diverse market environment.  → not relevant. Doesn't help us to solve the problem statement.
Suppliers:  • Suppliers for majority of production	The market offers more sourcing options than we are currently using.



materials available in China.

 Foreign owned companies tend to import already approved crucial parts/materials from overseas, as the approval process of new suppliers in automotive industry follows very strict rules and takes time.

→ Key take-away, Tailwind

## **Key take-aways:**

The market offers double digit growth rates in SUV segment.

The market offers more sourcing options than we are currently using.



#### 2. Internal factors

## **Internal Factor: Sales**

- Why are we not making profit with certain segments/customers/etc. (refer to the questions asked in the factor "profitability".)
- What drives buying decisions at our customers?
- Are we offering services which add to our cost structure, but have little benefit for them?
- How is the value chain of our industry? Who is making money where why?
- What would we have to change in our internal organization to increase profitability of certain customers? Why? (please note: people will propose actions, these will allow you to drill down to the right facts).

Facts	Conclusions
We make 75% of our turnover with our Top 3 customers.	We are strongly dependent on a few big customers, which is a low-margin business.
We know from benchmarking that our profitability with B&C customers is above the market average.	→ Comment: not relevant. Doesn't help us to solve the problem statement.
We have developed a pricing tool to calculate prices for B&C customers some years ago and successfully trained our sales team to apply it. Since we introduced this new tool, our profitability with B&C customers has improved significantly.	The pricing tool in place for B&C customers works well.  → Key take-away, tailwind
<ul> <li>Customers:</li> <li>More than 100 automakers in China licensed to produce passenger cars.</li> </ul>	Potential to grow via new customers limited due to very consolidated market.  → Comment: not relevant. Doesn't help us



•	Top 10 hold 84% of the market share.	to solve the problem statement.
•	Localization of auto parts > 80%	Plant closure in China/shift of volumes to European plants would lead to loss of our turnover in China, as auto-parts are mostly sourced locally.  → not relevant. Doesn't help us to solve the problem statement.
•	Estimated 90% of our attention in the Sales team is dedicated to A customers (low profitability, see factor profitability on page xyz)	We spend too much time on low margin business (A customers) instead of high margin business (B/C customers)  → Key take-away, headwind

# Key take-aways:

The pricing tool in place for B&C customers works well.

We spend too much time on low margin business (A customers) instead of high margin business (B/C customers)



## **Internal Factor: Purchasing**

- Where do we buy? Why?
- How do we choose our suppliers? Why?
- How do we know that we buy the right quality? Why?
- Do we believe that our purchasing prices are above/below competition? Why?
- How do we achieve savings in purchasing?
- What would we have to change in our internal organization to reduce purchasing cost?
   Why? (please note: people will propose actions, these will allow you to drill down to the right facts).

Facts	Conclusions
We are buying 60% of our purchase volume overseas, this is mostly done global frame contracts negotiated by European purchasing team. Only 40% localized.	via than local Chinese benchmarks.
<ul> <li>During the last 3 years only 5 is suppliers in China have been quali- successfully.</li> </ul>	
Our local purchasing team is quite yo and inexperienced, but motivated.	ung
Many suppliers we are using are lot term partners to our Europ subsidiaries, which have been quali as suppliers with our Top customers I time ago.	ean fied
We did a benchmarking exercise on Chinese sourcing market two ye	



Chinese suppliers are on average 15% less expense than our current suppliers.	
When we set up our Chinese factory, we transferred the specifications from Europe.	Our specifications are over-specified for the Chinese market.  → Key take-away, headwind
<ul> <li>Most of the specs have never been adapted to the needs of the Chinese market.</li> </ul>	

# Key take-aways:

Our imported parts are 15% more expensive than local Chinese benchmarks.

Our specifications are over-specified for the Chinese market.



# **Internal Factor: Production**

Exemplary questions to be asked (linked to the problem statement & scope):

- How is our productivity in China in relation to our competition? Why?
- How is our productivity in China in relation to our productivity in our European plants?
   Why?
- What would we have to change in our internal organization to increase productivity?
   Why?

Facts	Conclusions
Our productivity in China is 25% lower than the average in our European subsidiaries.	Our labor productivity is 25% below internal benchmarks.
<ul> <li>We estimate our productivity to be 10%</li> </ul>	→ Key take-away, headwind
lower than our Chinese competitors.	
Our equipment has been transferred from European sister companies.	We are not equipped sufficiently to meet the new/tighter emission standards.
The equipment is partly outdated and requires a high share of manual work.	→ Comment: not relevant. Doesn't help us to solve the problem statement.
<ul> <li>At least 50% of the equipment we are using will not be sufficient to meet the requirements of the upcoming tighter emission standards.</li> </ul>	

# Key take-aways:

Our labor productivity is 25% below internal benchmarks.



# **Internal Factor: Profitability**

Exemplary questions to be asked (linked to the problem statement & scope):

- Can we narrow down our profitability issue to certain customers/products/plants/etc.?
- Why are we more/less profitable in the different segments?
- What are the 3 most important drivers for our profitability?
- What do we know about our cost structure vs. competition?

Fa	acts	Conclusions
•	Our margin with B & C customers is 20% higher than our margin with A customers.	We have excellent profitability with our B&C customers (account only for 25% of business).  →Key take-away, tailwind
•	30% of our turnover is export business, which we are only doing because our A customers demand it. We do not make any profit on this business.	We do not charge full cost to our export customers (A customers)  → Key take-away, headwind

# Key take-aways:

We have excellent profitability with our B&C customers (account only for 25% of business).

We do not charge full cost to our export customers (A customers)